

Appl. No. 10/827,330
Attorney Docket No. 26102
Response to Restriction Requirement dated April 19, 2006

Restriction Requirement Summary

The Examiner has required election of a single invention under 35 U.S.C. 121. The inventions are as follows:

Group I: Claims 1 – 6 are asserted to be drawn to the manufacture of an organic electroluminescence device, classified in class 427, subclass 66.

Group II: Claims 7 – 11 are asserted to be drawn to an organic electroluminescence device, classified in class 313, subclass 504.

Response

Applicant elects to continue prosecution of Group II, claims 7 – 11, without traverse.

Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner examine claims 7 – 11 pending in this application.

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner continue to conduct a substantive examination of the application. If the Examiner has any questions or comments regarding this matter, the Examiner is welcomed to contact the undersigned attorney at the below-listed number and address.

In the event this paper is not timely filed, Applicant petitions for an appropriate extension of time. Please charge any fee deficiency or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 14-0112.


Appl. No. 10/827,330
Attorney Docket No. 26102
Response to Restriction Requirement dated April 19, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC

Date: May 10, 2006

NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC
112 South West Street
Alexandria, VA 22314
(703)548-6284


Gary M. Nath
Registration No. 26,965
Gregory B. Kang
Registration No. 45,273
Customer No. 20529